

Sexual Selection for Literary Displays

Benjamin P. Lange & Harald A. Euler University of Frankfurt, Germany / University of Kassel, Germany



Introduction

The display hypothesis (Miller, 1999, 2000) assumes, among others, a correlation between literary and markers of reproduction relevant success. Lyric poetry, following the handicap principle, is more difficult to fake than non-lyric literature and thus a better indicator of human reproductive quality. These two hypotheses were tested with respect to German literature of the 18th to 20th century.

Methods

• Literary success was operationalized by the number of entries of a writer in the literary canon of the highly respected German literary critic Reich-Ranicki who lists the literary works of 69 writers which he considers to be of high literary quality

Data about each writer's reproduction relevant successes was collected by researching available biographies

Results

• 92.8 % of all writers (N=69) were male

93.2 % of all entries (N=161) were achieved by men

• Mean age of <u>male</u> writers (N=64) for the <u>first work</u> in the canon: 31.0 (Median: 28.5)

Mean age of <u>male</u> writers (N=64) for <u>all works</u> in the canon: 35.7 (Median: 32.0)

Later female age peak (cf. Miller, 1999, p. 85):

• Mean age of <u>female</u> writers (N=5) for the <u>first work</u> in the canon: 35.4 (Median: 33.0)

• Mean age of <u>female</u> writers (N=5) for <u>all works</u> in the canon: 37.3 (Median: 33.0)



Number of entries in the most prestigious German literary canon, by age and sex of writer

Mating Success	Male writers: 18 th –20 th century (N=64)	
Marriages	24	1.5
Engagements (w/o marriages)	.31	1.3
Affairs, girlfriends	.57***	2.9
Female admirers	.44	1.8
Children	.42**	3.2
Total score ¹	.42**	5.5
Total score ¹ (w/o marriages)	.46***	5.0
		and the second se

Correlations between # canon entries and mating success, and means

1 : mean score of all mating successes

66 % of all mating successes were achieved after the first canon entry

Life age in years

Lyric poets Non-lyric writers Means: 58.4 61.8d = 0.20 (ns)

Differences between lyric poets and writers of other genres (only male)

and the second second	Means		Differences	
Mating Success	Lyric poetry (N=26)	Non-lyric literature (N=38)	F	η²
Marriages	1.5	1.5	-	-
Engagements (w/o marriages)	1.4	1.3	0.40	-
Affairs, girlfriends	4.1	2.1	5.87*	0.14
Female admirers	2.0	1.7	0.09	
Children	2.5	3.6	2.84	-
Total score	5.7	5.3	0.17	-

Trivers-Willard hyp	othesis (Triv	ers & Willard, 1973)	
typical sex ratio at birth:	106 sons : 100 daughters (Trivers, 1985)		
for all writers in the canon:	138 sons : 1	00 daughters	
χ	2 ² (1) = 9.66**		
Difference between	lyric poets	and writers of other genres	
	84:100	168 : 100	

Discussion

• The current study shows substantial correlations between literary and markers of reproduction relevant success. To our knowledge, this is the first study examining this relation.

• Furthermore, the presented data seem to show that lyric poets had more mating success than non-lyric writers. This could be interpreted as a support of lyric poetry being a larger handicap than other forms of literature. Further studies with larger sample sizes are required, though.

 The Trivers-Willard principle seems to apply to non-lyric writers but not to lyric poets. If this means that lyric poets are of lower socio-economic status, must be left to further studies.

References

Miller, G. F. (1999). Sexual selection for cultural displays. In R. Dunbar, C. Knight, & C. Power (eds.). *The evolution of culture. An interdisciplinary view* (pp. 71–91). Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Miller, G. F. (2000). The mating mind. How sexual choice shaped the evolution of human nature. New York, NY: Doubleday.

Trivers, R. L., & Willard, D. E. (1973). Natural selection of parental ability to vary the sex ratio of offspring. *Science*, *179*, 90–91.

Trivers, R. L. (1985). *Social evolution*. Menlo Park, CA: The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company.